

	<p><b>Take Notice:</b> Information supplied based on my opinion reading - The Constitution, Conventions, law, Case Law, Rules, Regulations and Standards in the Republic of Ireland only. This sheet is drafted by a lay litigant and might not be correct.</p> <p><b>This is not legal advice, but the opinion of the author(s). Please consult your legal advisor</b></p>
---	---

## ***Serious Alienation - Suggestions***

Andries van Tonder  
Original: 30 November 2016  
This revision: 17 July 2021

### **Dear Oupa,**

Reading the [news](#) about seriously alienated children where they are now in the care of social services and the matter in the High Court, we will appreciate your opinion.

We took notice of your publication of November 2016 and the resulting outcomes ....

(Letter redacted).

### **Dear Concerned people,**

I received several communications regarding this case. I contacted several of those asking for opinions and commenting.

I do not know of any professional reunification program or camp available in Ireland and this suggestion is a revision of my previous work done.

My personal opinion based on the limited information available:

1. The children are victims of serious pathogenic parenting which is cruelty to children and abuse
2. The mother attempted to persuade the father to abandon the children which are also child abuse.
3. I believe that the mother has a piranha streak.
4. The District Court Judge did not make a mistake in reversing custody and issuing a 90-day order.
5. The children are victims of serious harm due to the clear negative brain plasticity, also known as neuroplasticity.
6. The children are in the trigger age group and at risk of serious outcomes.

Bottom line - this is a case of **serious parental alienation**.

### **Mistakes made**

I believe that some serious mistakes were made in this case due to the lack of experience and training of those involved. Quoting Dr Steven Millar-

1. The social worker(s) involved in the past, were not trained to understand parental alienation as serious child abuse.
2. Other professionals, legal and otherwise, may not have an understanding of the situation and the seriousness.
3. The change of custody was not facilitated in a professional manner using best-known practices.

### **My suggestions**

Many readers may not agree with me and criticize my opinion. Based several on previous similar experiences where I assisted I strongly suggest-

1. Only CPAK (Certified Parental Alienation Knowledgeable) and PAP (Parental Alienation Professionals) should deal with this matter. This includes the foster carers. (Crash Course Attendance presented by one or more PAAA certified professionals might be needed).
2. The children are in urgent need of counselling and deprogramming. The situation has deteriorated to such an extent that they may be a danger to themselves. I believe that actions according to the [Mental Health Act, 2001](#) is justified.
3. A PAP - Law Enforcement, should be approached to assist and offences committed by a parent, carer or other people should be identified, investigated and prosecuted. The children should be notified about it, as needed.
4. Enforced social media isolation of the children may be necessary and be implemented.
5. A barring order should be obtained against the Alienating Party and other culprits, specifying that they are not allowed to answer contact or message requests.
6. A support program which might include an "Encounter Session" and psychological therapy should be implemented for the Alienating party (or parties)
7. A health and wellness check and program might be needed for the Target Parent(s)
8. A reunification program should be implemented under strict supervision and active guidance of a PAP-
  - (a) Remove all absent parent contact of the Alienating Parties from the children
  - (b) Pre-access treatment and counselling of the children - (perhaps up to 5 days)
  - (c) Implement enforced absent parent contact of the Target Parent only

When the PAP determine it wise

- (d) Implement enforced and supervised SMS / TXT contact between the children and the Target Parent.
- (e) Implement enforced and supervised social media contact between the children and the Target Parent.

- (f) Implement enforced and supervised voice contact between the children and the Target Parent.
- (g) Implement enforced and supervised video contact between the children and the Target Parent.
- (h) Attempt to start limiting the supervision
- (i) Implement non-intrusive supervised live visual contact
- (j) Implement non-intrusive supervised palpable contact
- (k) Implement unsupervised palpable contact
- (l) The Target Parent should attempt to introduce limited absent parent contact of the Alienating Party to the children.
- (m) Implement extended palpable contact and parenting time
- (n) Implement full contact and parenting time
- (o) Complete change of custody
- (p) Initiate contact and parenting time with the Alienating Party. (Enforcement might be needed again)

**Again I must emphasize that all the actions should be under the supervision of qualified parental alienation professionals.**

Comments and suggestions to andy at aps dot ie

- oOo -